UNITED STATES DI NORTHERN DISTRI		
ROBERT W. JOHNS	ON, Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-480
RAMADA CARRIER	CIRCLE and INDEE	ZD,
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNS	ON, Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-511
COURTYARD SYRAG ARMORY SQUARE a		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNS	ON, Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-512
QUALITY INN & SU and CHOICE HOTEL		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNS		5:22-CV-513
SPRINGHILL SUITE INDEPENDENCE an	· ·	VOY,

ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-514
COURTYARD ERIE BAY and MARRIOTT BONVOY		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-524
EXTENDED STAY AMER MANAGEMENT LLC and		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-526
BEST WESTERN PLUS and INDEED,		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v- SPRINGHILL SUITES SY CARRIER CIRCLE and IN		5:22-CV-527

ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-528		
RED ROOF INN #157 and INDEED,				
	Defendants.			
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-529		
HAMPTON BY HILTON a	and INDEED,			
	Defendants.			
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-530		
MOTEL 6 and INDEED,				
	Defendants.			
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-531		
EMBASSY SUITES BY HILTON and INDEED,				
	Defendants.			
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-532		
AMERICAS BEST VALUE INN and INDEED,				
	Defendants.			
	- 3 -			

ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v- FAIRFIELD BY MARRIO CARRIER CIRCLE and IN		5:22-CV-533
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-534
CRESTHILL SUITES and INDEED,		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-535
EXECUTIVE EAST SYRACUSE HOTEL LLC and INDEED,		
	Defendants.	
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-536
RODEWAY INN BY CHOICE HOTELS and INDEED,		
	Defendants.	
		•

ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-537	
DAYS INN BY WINDHAM and INDEED,	M SYRACUSE		
	Defendants.		
ROBERT W. JOHNSON,	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-538	
CANDLEWOOD SUITES EAST SYRACUSE and INDEED,			
	Defendants.		
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-539	
DOUBLETREE BY HILTON SYRACUSE and INDEED,			
	Defendants.		
ROBERT W. JOHNSON, -v-	Plaintiff,	5:22-CV-540	
HOMETOWN INN BY RED ROOF and INDEED,			
	Defendants.		

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

ROBERT W. JOHNSON, Plaintiff, Pro Se 112 Court Street, Apt. 2 Watertown, NY 13601

DAVID N. HURD United States District Judge

## **ORDER ON REPORT & RECOMMENDATION**

On May 17 and May 19, 2022, pro se plaintiff Robert W. Johnson ("plaintiff") filed these twenty-one civil rights actions alleging he was "denied employment" and "discriminated against" by the various hotel defendants and that defendant Indeed "falsified ads and employment." Along with each complaint, plaintiff sought to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP Application").

On June 21, 2022, U.S. Magistrate Judge Andrew T. Baxter granted plaintiff's IFP Applications for the purposes of filing only and advised by Report & Recommendation ("R&R") that the complaint in each civil action be dismissed without leave to amend as frivolous.

As Judge Baxter explained, plaintiff's pleading in each case failed to plausibly allege the basic elements of any viable claims against any of the named defendants. And as Judge Baxter noted, plaintiff's latest set of filings were "not the first barrage of frivolous complaints filed by the plaintiff."

To the contrary, at the time these twenty-one civil actions were filed in this judicial district, plaintiff was already subject to bar orders and filing injunctions in the Southern District of New York, the District of Connecticut, the Southern District of Ohio, and had previously been warned by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit that his continued filing of frivolous appeals might also result in a filing injunction in that forum.

In addition, as a result of plaintiff's filing of <u>forty-five new civil rights</u> actions in a ten-day period, as of May 19, 2022, plaintiff had been "permanently enjoined from filing any pleadings or documents as a pro se plaintiff in this district without prior permission.

Plaintiff has filed objections in each action. Upon *de novo* review, the R&R will be accepted and adopted in all respects. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that

- 1. The Report & Recommendation is ACCEPTED in each of the twenty-one above-captioned civil actions;
- 2. The twenty-one above-captioned civil actions are DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND; and
  - 3. Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel are DENIED AS MOOT.

    IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 11, 2022 Utica, New York.

David N. Hurd U.S. District Judge